Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9037 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/08/10 12:43:37 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER		
(Instructions on Reverse)		(Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFEND	ANTS		
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORN	NEYS (If Known)		
111 1 Old (2218 (1 mm rvame, ridaress, and rerephone rver)	1111010	(E I S (II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	PARTY (Check One Box Only)			
□ Debtor□ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin□ Creditor□ Other	□ Debtor□ Creditor	☐ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin ☐ Other		
□ Trustee	☐ Creditor ☐ Other			
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE		LINCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
CITCOL OF TRETTOTY (WIGHLESS STITTE WILLY FOR CITCOL	or memor	, in real case of the case of		
NATURE (F SUIT			
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1	, first alternat	ive cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property	FDRD 7001(4	6) – Dischargeability (continued)		
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property		argeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support		
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury			
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan			
14-Recovery of money/property - other	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation			
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	(other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other			
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	- 03-Dischargedonity - other			
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief			
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	☐ 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay ☐ 72-Injunctive relief – other			
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge	J			
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - \$727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest			
•	□ 81-Subo	rdination of claim or interest		
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation	FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment			
☐ 51-Revocation of confirmation	☐ 91-Decla	aratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	FRBP 7001(1	(0) Determination of Removed Action		
66-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	01-Deter	rmination of removed claim or cause		
62-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud	045			
actual fraud 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	Other SS-SIPA	. Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq.</i>		
(continued next column)		r (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court		
(continued next column)		related to bankruptcy case)		
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	□ Check if	this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$			
Other Relief Sought				

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9037 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/08/10 12:43:37 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES					
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
RELATED A	DVERSARY F	PROCEEDING (IF ANY)			
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	Γ	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	IG	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)					
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PLAINTIFF)		

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Telephone: (212) 561-7700 Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 783-8300

Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,) Chapter 11
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,	
Plaintiff,)
v.)
PUNCH INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)))
Defendant.	,

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 8577

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of
Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official
Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and
for his objection to proof of claim number 8577 (the "Complaint") against Punch Integrated
Communications, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. The Trustee seeks to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, the Trustee objects to Defendant's proof of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

THE PARTIES

- 2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.
- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors")¹ were corporations

The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard,

that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a Canadian corporation with its principal place of business in Cambridge, Ontario, Canada.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 547, and 550 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

⁽cont'd from previous page)

Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
 - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendant

15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

- 16. On or about April 14, 2008, Circuit City and Defendant entered into an agreement pursuant to which Defendant was to provide various services to Circuit City (the "Agreement").
- 17. During the course of the parties' relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement that are reflected in statements of work, invoices, communications, and other documents (collectively, the "Defendant's Agreements").
- 18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

C. <u>Amount Allegedly Owed to Defendant and Defendant's Proof</u> of Claim

- 20. On or about January 29, 2009, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$9,464.74, of which Defendant claimed that \$8,929.00 was secured and \$535.74 was unsecured. The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 8577.
- 21. In Claim No. 8577, Defendant alleges that the claim was based on its provision of goods and services performed.
- 22. On October 9, 2009, the Court entered its *Order on Debtors' Thirty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (Modification and/or Reclassification of Certain Claims)* [Docket No. 5192] (the "Reclassification Order"). Pursuant to the Reclassification Order, the entirety of Claim No. 8577, in the amount of \$9,464.74, was reclassified as a general unsecured claim.

D. Transfers to Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition Date

- 23. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$162,165.00. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 24. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

COUNT I

AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 25. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.
- 27. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.
- 28. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
 - 29. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.
 - 30. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.

- 31. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 32. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 33. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein
- 34. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 35. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 36. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 8577 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

37. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

- 38. Claim No. 8577 asserts a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 39. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 40. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim No. 8577 must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

COUNT IV

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 8577 AS OVERSTATED

- 41. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 8577 is overstated by at least \$535.74.
- 43. As a result, Defendant's Claim No. 8577 should be reduced by an amount of at least \$535.74.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$162,165.00;
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, disallow Claim No. 8577 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers;

- iii. Pursuant to Count IV, reduce Claim No. 8577 from the overstated amount of \$9,464.74, to \$8,929.00;
- iv. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;
 - v. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- vi. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 8, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and –

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

	Check Clear			
Vendor Name	Date	Check Number	Paid Amount	
PUNCH INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS INC	8/27/2008	27001	\$ 58,500.00	
PUNCH INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS INC	9/2/2008	4552672	\$ 58,500.00	
PUNCH INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS INC	9/30/2008	4561776	\$ 28,846.00	
PUNCH INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS INC	10/15/2008	4564769	\$ 16,319.00	

Total Payment \$ 162,165.00